# Evaluation of Cross Protective Efficacy of Commercial Vaccines against Mannheimia haemolytica in Mice

Waffa A.Ahmed, Asmaa H. Abdullah, Ansam K. Mohammed and Roua J. Mohammed Department of Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Iraq. E-mail: Waffaabduleah@yahoo.com

Received: 29/04/2019 Accepted: 21/07/2019 Publishing: 04/08/2019 Summary

Mannheimia haemolytica together with Pasteurella multocida represents as a major bacterial causative agent of cattle, sheep and goats respiratory diseases and its one of the most important causes for economic losses to these animals .Commercially available vaccines were used to prevent infections caused by P. multocida and M. haemolytica. Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the cross protection efficacy of two vaccines to protect mice against M.haemolytica, studying humeral immunity, using Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. Forty five mice were divided into three equal groups, group one and two were inoculated subcutaneously 4µl\JOVAPAST® and 1µl of Al-kindy vaccines respectively, while the third group was with 0.5 ml sub cutaneous PBS. LD50for *M.haemolytica* was estimated as  $2 \times 10^6$  cfu \ml and challenge test was conducted by dropping 0.05 ml  $2 \times 10^6$  cfu \ml intranasally after three weeks of immunization for the three groups. The results of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay, showed significant increase of antibody titters at (P<0.01) in (group 1 and 2) after first and second weeks post immunization, in comparison with control group. Also, the re-isolation of M.haemolytica from lungs tissue of all groups after challenged were positive with significant difference between control and immunized group, control group was  $4 \times 10^8$  cfu/ml which was higher than immunized group one and group two, which were  $2.5 \times 10^4$  cfu/ml and  $3.5 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml respectively after 24 hour of vaccine. In conclusion, the two commercial vaccines showed good cross protection efficacy against M. haemolytica, but JOVAPAST® vaccine showed higher efficacy than Alkindy vaccine, as that it contain two heterologous killed strains and providing the basis for production a vaccine from the two pathogen of local strains.

Keywords: P. multocida, M. haemolytica, vaccine, ELISA.

#### Introduction

Pneumonic pasteurellosis caused by haemolytica, Mannheimia which is commensals in the nasopharynx of many domestic and wild animals and its one of the major problems in sheep, goats and cattle. It is responsible for considerable economic losses to these animals and other livestock industries in many parts of the world (1and 2). In Iraq, Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida were main causative agent in outbreak of pneumonic pasteurellosis in mountain goats, gazelles and deer's in a social sector field, (M.haemolytica and P.multocida) were isolated from nasopharynx swab of infected animals and apparently healthy goats haemolytica Because М. remains (3).extracellular in pneumonic pasteurellosis, humeral immunity is probably most important in protection against infection (4). The B cells

play a large role in the humoral immune responses which make antibodies identify and neutralize invading pathogens. It is well known that immunoglobulins functions as opsonins for a great number of phagocytosis resistant bacteria (5).

The cross protection between Pasteurella species have been demonstrated and judged by gel diffusion precipitation analysis (6).Mukkur., (1977) reported ,that there is a cross protection between P. multocida type A and P. haemolytica serotype 1, because they possess sharing common immunogenic antigens(s) ,this study deals with immunizing mice with either the KSCN extract or formularized whole cells of M. haemolytica followed by a challenge with P. multocida to determine cross-protection, it was exciting that mice immunized with 1.0 OD unit of P. haemolytica KSCN extract protected 100% than the immunized with formularized P. haemolytica (7). Also, (8) study vaccine the Immunogenicity of Pasteurella multocida and Mannheimia haemolytica outer membrane vesicles (OMV and OM), the study revealed similar protein profiles between the respective OMV and OM preparations of P. multocida and M. haemolytica. Also (9) study the Immunogenicity of M. haemolytica outer membrane proteins (OMPs) and Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), compared with a vaccine (contain commercial killed P. multocida and M. haemolytica ) by ELISA and challenged test with M. haemolytica ,thus demonstrating cross protection between the two pathogens. According to the above Knowledge's and little information's were reported on cross protection, so this study suggested to evaluate the cross protection between the P. multocida and M. haemolytica. So, the aim of this study was to evaluate the cross protective efficacy of two commercial vaccines against heterologous or homologus strain.

#### Materials and methods:

M.haemolytica strain was obtained from the Microbiology Department /College of Veterinary Medicine. The strain (Acc.No:MG897458) was transferred from brain heart infusion broth containing 15% glycerol, sub cultured on blood agar and incubated for 24hr at 37°C, and then the strain was inoculated in mice to get a fully encapsulated virulent form, and the virulent organisms isolated from mice organs streaked on blood agar and MacConkey agar incubated 24 hr at 37 °C. Growth was checked and tested for purity by staining with Gram's stain.

Two commercial vaccine were used in this study, (JOVAPAST®) was supplied by Jordan Industrial Biological Center inactive vaccine contain *P. multocida* serovars A, B and M. haemolytica serovars A1, second vaccine was supplied by Al-Kindy company for the production of vaccines and veterinarian drugs in Baghdad. This vaccine contains *P.multocida* serovars B.

Bacterial count and Lethal Dose 50 (LD50): Viable cell bacterial count was conducted according to (10) by transferring (0.05 ml per drop) from each diluted tube (10-1 to 10-10) and culture on blood agar plate, then incubation at 37°C for 18-24 hours. The CFU was estimated using five dilutions corresponding to 2×10<sup>6</sup>, 2×10<sup>5</sup>, 2×10<sup>4</sup>, 2×10<sup>3</sup> and  $2 \times 10^2$  to estimate the dilution that gave countable number of colonies. While the LD50 was estimated according to (11). Thirty mice were divided into five groups (n=6), each group was intraperitoneally injected with 0.3 ml of five dilutions ,all groups were monitored for 12 days to calculate total live and dead mice.

The efficacy of vaccine which was evaluated by ELISA (12) and by the challenge test (9). Evaluation of humeral immunity by ELISA test: Forty five mice were divided randomly into three equal groups, first group was inoculated SC with 4µl of JOVAPAST® vaccine and the second group was inoculated 1µl of Al-Kindy vaccine , while the third group was inoculated 0.5 ml\Sc PBS. Blood was collected, after anaesthetization and aspirated blood by insulin syringe from the heart of mice of each animal of the groups, before and post immunization for three weeks, and serum was separated, allowed to clot overnight at 4°C then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The separated sera were stored at -20°C until used for measuring antibody titer by ELISA test kit (XpressBio\USA) which was used according to manufactures instructions.

After third week post immunization of mice, remaining mice thirty two were divided into three groups equally, all groups were challenged with  $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml}) \text{ LD50 of } M.haemolytica$ . The mice were inoculated with 0.05 ml intranasally by dropping with micro titter pipette, mice were monitored every 6 to 8 hours for any signs of clinical illness (13).

## **Results and Discussion**

Determination of Lethal Dose 50:The result of estimation showed mortality 100% in group one which was injected with  $(2 \times 10^7 \text{ cfu /ml})$ and 50% mortality in group two which was injected with  $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu /ml})$ . The clinical signs observed in group with mortality only, while in other groups no clinical signs were observed. In current study the LD50 of

*M.haemolytica* was determined as a  $2 \times 10^6$  cfu /ml.

ELISA: The results of antibody titer values of immunized groups (commercial vaccine 1 and 2) showed significant increase in antibody titters at (P<0.01) after (first and second weeks) post immunization in comparison with (control group), while they showed significant decrease of antibody titters at (P<0.01) after third week as appeared in (Fig.1 and Table, 1): Challenge Test: No clinical signs were observed on the challenged mice after 6 hr, all groups appeared with well-groomed coats and normal activity. While after 24 hour the mice of control positive group appeared dull with scruffy coat and abnormal activities while other groups appeared to be unaffected. After that Mannheimia haemolytica has been isolated from lungs of all challenged group's at 24 and 48 hr post inoculation. Also as seen in (Table, 2) ,the bacteria were isolated from lungs tested culturally and biochemically according to (14), the results of these tests showed typical cocobacilli, G-ve and bipolar with methylene blue stain under light microscope as seen in Table, 2.



Figure, 1: Antibody titers in the immunized and control groups in ELISA test.

Table, 1: Antibody fiters in the immunized and control groups in ELISA test.					
The group	Zero week	First week	Second week	Third week	
Commercial vaccine group 1	0.19	2.89	3.40	2 202	
	0	0	1	2.203	
	b	а	а	ab	
Commercial vaccine group 2	0.19	1.60	2.50	1.208	
	2	8	3	ab	
	b	ab	а	au	
Control pogotivo	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.192	
Control negative	8	2	5	0.192 b	
group	b	b	b	U	
LSD value	2.26070169**				

Table, 1: Antibody ti	iters in the immunized	and control groups in ELISA test.
-----------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------------

Similar small letters represent no significant differences.

Different small letters represent significant differences at level of P<0.01\*\*.

#### Table, 2: Re-isolation of M.haemolytica from lung after intranasal challenge.

The group	Bacterial load		
The group	24 hr	48 hr	
<b>Commercial vaccine (group1)</b>	2.5× 10 <sup>4</sup>	$1 \times 10^4$	
<b>Commercial vaccine (group1)</b>	3,5 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	2.5 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	
Control positive	4× 10 <sup>8</sup>	3× 10 <sup>8</sup>	

Determination of (LD50) of M. haemolytica was determined as  $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml})$ , this result is agree with (9), who estimated the same value for *M* haemolytica which was isolated from nasal mucous of slaughtered goats. Previous investigation reported cross-reactivity between different strains of P. multocida (7and15).In this study, the results showed that immunized mice with the two commercial vaccines stimulated immunity compared with control group (Table, 1), with an increase in antibody titer value in immunized groups after first and second week post immunization in comparison with the control group. That's due to similar protein profiles between the outer membranes vesicles (OMVs) of P. multocida and M. haemolytica, this result is in agreement with (16) who found that the Bactrian vaccine for P. Multocida and M. haemolytica (one dose) could be efficient for increasing the antibodies level than normal produce. This characteristic feature of OMVs has also been described for another member of the Pasteurellaceae family (8). As well as (7) demonstrated a crossprotection between P. multocida type A and M.haemolytica, serotype1, who immunized the mice with the potassium thiocyanate extract of M. haemolytica serotype 1, and showed resistant to a challenge infection with P. multocida type A.

The reduction in antibody level at third week indicated that a booster dose was required. The booster dose, might be delivered at 3rd week, significantly increased the antibody production for the next 2 weeks (Weeks 4 and 5) before they were challenged (17). In this study, the challenged test was conducted intranasally by dropping, that the intranasal infection was the effective route for experimental infection (13). The challenge with live M. haemolytica was carried out on day 28th post vaccination. While(18) showed that the challenge of animals in the vaccination trial was most suitably done at between Days 28 and 35 post vaccination, it acted as another booster to the immune system resulting in even higher antibody levels (18). The re- isolation from lung in all group showed variation in bacterial load. The reason for the clearance of M. haemolytica from mouse lungs following aerosol exposure has been documented to be

efficient due to the influx of neutrophils into pulmonary tissues after exposure to M. haemolytica is thought to be responsible for efficient elimination of the bacteria from the lungs of mice (19). In conclusion, the two commercial vaccines showed good protection against M.haemolytica,but JOVAPAST® showed higher efficacy than Al-Kindy vaccine, as that it contain two heterologous inactivated strains.

## References

- 1. Mehmet, A.; Taner, Ö.; Barış, S.;, Rıfkı, H.; Osman, Y. T. and Zafer, C., (2006).Vaccination studies of lambs against experimental Mannheimia (Pasteurella) haemolytica infection. Small Ruminant Research, (65):44–50.
- 2. MY, S.; Shahrom-Salisi, M. and Emikpe,B.O.(2013). Comparison prior and post vaccination of inactivated recombinant vaccine against mannheimiosis in boer goats farm in sabah. J. Vaccines, Vaccin, (4):173.
- **3.** .Ahmed, W. A.(1996).Pathological and bacteriological study of respiratory diseases in mountain goats, gazelles and dears in a social sector field. A thesis of master, college of veterinary medicine, university of Baghdad.
- 4. Pandher,K.(1998). Molecular and immunological analyses of 38 kda and 45 kda protein antigens of Pasteurella haemolytica sl. submitted to the faculty of the graduate college of Oklahoma state university in the requirements for the degree of doctor of philosophy.
- 5. Janeway, C.A. ;Travers, P.; Walport, M. and Shlomchik, M.J. (2001). Immunobiology: The immune system in health and disease. 5th edition. New York: Garland Science.
- 6. Prince, G.H. and J.E. Smith, (1966). Antigenic studies on P. multocida using immunodifusion techniques. II. Relationship with other gram-negative species. J. Comp. Pathol., 76: 315-320.
- 7. Mukkur, T.K., (1977). Demonstration of cross-protection between Pasteurella multocida type A and Pasteurella haemolytica, serotype 1. Infect. immun., 18: 583-585.

- Roier,S., Fenninger,J.C., Leitner, D.R., Rechberger,J.N., Reidl,J. and Schild,S.(2013). Immunogenicity of Pasteurella multocida and Mannheimia haemolytica outer membrane vesicles. Int J Med Microbiol. 303(5): 247–256.
- 9. Mohammed, R.J .(2017). Preperation and Evaluation of Protective Efficacy of Outer Membrane Proteins and Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of M. haemolytica of Caprine origine, Identified by Molecular and Bacteriological Tests.
- **10.** Miles, A.A. and Misra, S.S. (1938).The estimation of the bactericidal power of the blood. J. Hyg. (38):732-749.
- Reed, L.J. and Muench, H.(1938).A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. Am .J .Hyg.(27):493– 497.
- **12.** Tizard,I.R.(2013).Veterinaryimmunoly an introduction 9th ed. Saunders Elsevier.255-380.
- Al-Najjar S. Sarhad.(2011). Pathogenesis of Pasteurella multocida in Rabbits by Intra-nasal and Intraocular infection. The Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Medicine; 35 (1): 42 – 53.
- Quinn, P.J.; Markey, B.K.; Leonard, F.C.; Hartigan, P.; Fanning, S. and Fitz, P. E.S.(2011).Veterinary microbiology and Microbial Disease. 2nd ed. UK: Wiley Blackwell West Sussex. ISBN : 978(1):118-30215.
- Sarah Abusalab, Muna O. Elhaj, Layla I. Mohamed, Nayla T. Mekki and Abbas M. Ahmed,(2013). Test of Cross Reaction between the Two Local Sudanese Strains of P. mulocida (B and E). Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 16: 1388-1392.
- 16. Sun,T.(2009). Evaluation of a vaccine against Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida in sheep. Thesis Presented to the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences of Cornell University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Research Honors Program.
- **17.** Sabri, M.Y.; Zamri-Saad, M.; Mutalib, A.R.; Israf, D.A. and Munianday,N

(2000). Effect of an outer membrane protein of Pasterulla haemolytica A2, A7 or A9- enriched vaccine against intratracheal challenge exposure in sheep. J. Vet. Microb. (73):13-23.

- 18. Pati, U.S.; Srivastava, S.K.; Roy, S.C and More, T.(1996).Immunogenicity of outer membrane protein of Pasteurella multocida in buffalo calves. Vet. Microbiol.(52):301–311.
- Lopez, **19.** Martinez-Burnes, J.: A.; M.(1985). Merino-Moncada, Pulmonary recruitment of neutrophils and bacterial clearance in mice inoculated with aerosols of Pasteurella haemolytica Staphylococcus or aureus.Can. J. Comp. Med. (49):327-332.

# تقييم كفاءة الحماية التصالبية للقاحات التجارية ضد جرثومة M.haemolytica في الفئران وفاء عبدالأله احمد ،اسماء حمودي عبد الله، انسام خالد محمد ،رؤى جاسم محمد كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة بغداد، العراق E-mail: Waffaabduleah@yahoo.com

الخلاصة

تعد جرثومتي (M.haemolytica و P.multocida ) من العوامل الرئيسة المسببة لأمراض الجهاز التنفسي في الابقار والاغنام والماعز و أحد اهم أسباب الخسائر الاقتصادية التي لحقت بهذه الحيوانات. استخدمت اللقاحات المتاحة تجاريا للوقاية من الاصابات التي تسببها هاتين الجرثومتين ، لذلك كان الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم كفاءة الحماية التصالبية لنوعين من اللقاحات لحماية الفئر ان ضد جرثومة M.haemolytica وذلك من خلال در اسة المناعة الخلطية بأستخدام أختبار الاليزا (ELISA). تم تقسيم خمسة واربعين من الفئران وقسمت الى ثلاثة مجاميع متساوية. تم تلقيح المجموعة الاولى والثانية بلقاحات \JOVAPAST @ 4μl 1μ، من لقاح الكندي تحت الجلد ، على التوالي، بينما لقحت المجموعة الثالث PBSml 0.5 \ كمجموعة سيطرة. حددت الجرعه القاتله لنصف العدد ((LD50 (2×10<sup>6</sup> cfu\ml)) ل M.haemolytica ، وتم أجراء اختبار التحدي عن طريق التقطير داخل الانف لفئران المجاميع الثلاثة بجرعة ml0.05 2× 10<sup>6</sup> cfu \ml) )وبعد ثلاثه اسابيع من التمنيع تم أخذ نماذج من الحيوانات واجراء اختبار الاليزا (ELISA). أظهرت نتائج الاختبار زيادة كبيرة في عيارية الاجسام المضادة (P<0.01) في المجموعتين (الاولى والثانية) بعد (اسبوع – اسبوعين) بعد التمنيع للمقارنة مع مجموعة السيطرة. كما تم اعادة عزل جرثومة M.haemolýtica من انسُجة الرئة لجميع المجاميع التي اظهرت ايجابية مع وجود فرق كبير بين مجموعة السيطرة وبقية المجاميع الممنعة، حيث كانت مجموعة السيطرة(4×10 cfu/ml) اعلى من المجموعة الممنعة الاولى والثانية (cfu/ml 10<sup>4</sup>×2.5) و(cfu/ml) و(3.5×10<sup>5</sup> cfu/ml)على التوالى بعد 24 ساعة . واستنتجت الدراسه بان اللقاحان التجاريان أظهرا حماية جيدة ضد جرثومة M.haemolytica واظهر لقاح JOVA PAST كفاءة اعلى من لقاح الكندي ، لاحتوائه عترتين متشابهتين مقتولتين. الكلمات المفتاحية: باستريلا مليتسيدا، مانيميا هيموليتيكا، لقاح ،الاليزا.