

THE QUALITY OF MILK PRODUCED IN  
"FADHILIA VILLAGE" NEAR BAGHDAD IN IRAQ

N.H. Najim, A.M. Alazawi and H.S. Al-Nassir,  
Department of Veterinary Public Health, College  
of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad.

SUMMARY

A study on the quality of milk produced in "Fadhilia Village" near Baghdad extending over a period of one year, revealed that the total bacterial and coliform counts for milk samples from individual producers were  $7.4 \times 10^5$  and  $2.5 \times 10^3$  in summer, and  $1.8 \times 10^6$  and  $5.4 \times 10^2$  in winter respectively. The above counts for bulk milk samples were  $3.3 \times 10^6$  and  $1.8 \times 10^4$  in summer and  $5.1 \times 10^5$  and  $3.3 \times 10^3$  in winter respectively. All over the year the preliminary incubation and psychrotrophic counts for both individual and bulk milk samples were strictly higher than all the proposed standards. There was a significant drop in methylene blue reduction time in summer samples compared to winter samples. A similar drop was noticed in bulk tank samples as compared to individual producer samples. Besides that a significant increase in lactic acid content in summer samples compared to winter samples.

## INTRODUCTION

Milk is an excellent growth medium for all common spoilage organisms. Fresh, raw milk contains an initial natural microflora at the time of collection, but additional microbial numbers depending upon the care employed in milking, cleaning and handling of milk utensils is reported (Henderson, 1971). Consequently, knowledge of the microbiology of milk is of importance in the development of satisfactory methods to prevent or retard wastage of milk due to spoilage of the most valuable nutrients.

To produce milk of good keeping quality and valuable for human consumption the following points should be fulfilled: 1) Clean animals; 2) Clean and properly sanitized and maintained milk handling equipments; 3) prompt and efficient cooling of the milk.

Galton *et al.*, (1984) reported that methods resulting in lowest bacterial counts were the use of water hose, wet towel, or premilking disinfectant teat dip followed by drying with paper towels. Besides that, physical manipulation of teats during cleaning was essential for lowering sediment in milk (Galton *et al.*, 1982). A high bacterial count of milk in Iraq suggests the use of improperly cleaned and sanitized equipments and careless handling practices (AL-Zubaidi, 1985).

The main objective of this study was to determine the sanitary quality of raw milk in Fadhilia village - near Baghdad city, which is considered one of the major supplier of milk to Baghdad's dairy plant.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Milk Samples**

Sixty raw milk samples of 600 ml each were collected from individual producers cans and bulk tanks of Fadhilia center for the reception of milk. The samples were processed according to Marth (1978).

### **Microbial Analysis**

Testing of samples were started within 36 hours after collection. 500 ml of each samples was used for the sediment, acidity and methylene blue reduction tests. The rests were analysed for Standard Plate Count (SPC), Coliform Plate Count (CPC), Psychrotrophic Plate Count (PPC) and Preliminary Incubation Count (PIC) according to Marth (1978) and Diliello (1982).

### **Statistical Procedures**

The results of chemical and microbiological determination were analyzed by the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) technique with nested treatment arrangement. Least significant difference (LSD) test was used to determine if significant differences existed among sources of variation (Snedecor and Cochran, 1968).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The SPC has been used as primary cultural method for examining fluid milk. It is specified for Grade A Pasteurized milk ordinance and considered as the only official cultural method to determine the total aerobic counts (Marth, 1978). However, the SPC does not show how many bacteria may be present that could grow at refrigeration temperatures. Therefore, many industrial laboratories apply additional tests to evaluate the

quality of raw milk. These tests include, Preliminary Incubation Count (PIC), Psychrotrophic Plate Count (PPC) and Coliform Plate Count (CPC) (Speck, 1976).

Table 1, shows the arithmetic means obtained from SPC, PIC, PPC and CPC. These profiles revealed that all bacterial counts were significantly lower ( $P < 0.01$ ) in winter than in summer. The decline of these bacterial counts during the winter could be related to the retardation effect of cooling on the growth and metabolic activity of spoilage bacteria.

In this study the result of SPC was more than  $1 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml. The standard that was mentioned by Marth (1978) for raw milk was less or equal to  $1 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml. On the other hand, Diliello (1982) mentioned that the standard measurements of the raw milk for the SPC and CPC should not be more than  $4 \times 10^5$  and  $1.5 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml respectively. In addition, all the bacterial counts in the milk of bulk tank were significantly higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) than those of individual producers (Table 2).

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the Preliminary Incubation Counts were strictly higher than all the standards proposed by Johns (1975) who concluded that any count greater than  $3 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml indicates severe psychrotrophic problems in raw milk.

Campbell and Marshall (1975) reported that many saprophytic contaminants can grow actively at  $12.8^\circ\text{C}$ , thus, a high count after preliminary incubation suggests the use of bad and careless handling practices.

Law *et al.*, (1979) claimed that a "true" psychrotrophic count can be obtained by incubating the plates at  $7^\circ\text{C}$  for 10 days.

Data appeared in Table 2 demonstrate that milk from bulk tank had significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ )

**Table 1**  
**Microbiological profile of fresh raw milk**  
**at different seasons**

Source of Samples	Season	No. of samples	Plating Procedure			
			Arithmetic Means CFU/ml.			
			SPC	PIC	CPC	PFC
Individual	Winter	15	1.8x10 <sup>8</sup> A'	2.2x10 <sup>8</sup> A'	5.4x10 <sup>8</sup> A'	3.5x10 <sup>8</sup> A'
Producer	Summer	15	7.4x10 <sup>8</sup> B	1.4x10 <sup>7</sup> BC	2.5x10 <sup>8</sup> B	2.1x10 <sup>8</sup> BC
Bulk	Winter	15	5.1x10 <sup>8</sup> B	1.1x10 <sup>7</sup> C	3.3x10 <sup>8</sup> B	1x10 <sup>8</sup> C
Tank	Summer	15	3.3x10 <sup>7</sup> C	2.3x10 <sup>8</sup> B	1.8x10 <sup>8</sup> C	3.5x10 <sup>8</sup> B

means in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly (P<0.01).

**Table 2**  
**Microbiological profile of fresh raw milk**  
**received from different sources**

Source of samples	No. of samples	Plating Procedure			
		Arithmetic Means CFU/ml.			
		SPC	PIC	CPC	PFC
Individual producer	30	3.6x10 <sup>8</sup> ***	5.7x10 <sup>8</sup> **	1.15x10 <sup>9</sup> **	8.7x10 <sup>8</sup>
Bulk tank	30	1.2x10 <sup>8</sup>	1.6x10 <sup>7</sup>	7.6x10 <sup>8</sup>	1.9x10 <sup>8</sup>

\* Significant (P<0.05)

\*\* Very Significant (P<0.01)

\*\*\* Highly Significant (P<0.001)

psychrotrophic bacterial count than that of individual producer.

Table 1 shows also a significant increase ( $P < 0.01$ ) in psychrotrophic bacteria which was obtained due to the temperature variation. The number of psychrotrophic bacteria in raw milk depend upon sanitary condition prevailing during production and upon time and temperature of milk storage before processing (Vedamuth *et al.*, 1978).

Results appeared in Table 3 demonstrate that milk from individual producer had significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) acidity values than the bulk milk. Besides, a significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) in acidity value was observed in summer than winter milk. These increases in the milk acidity may be related to the large bacterial population that was found in this study in summer time. Our results were in agreement with those of Clunie Harvey and Hill (1967).

Data in Table 3 shows a significant drop ( $P < 0.01$ ) in methylene blue reduction time in summer samples compared to winter samples. A similar drop was noticed in bulk tank samples as compared to individual producer samples.

Upon statistical examination of sediment content, revealed non significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) difference in sediment content between season and farm (Table 3). Although sediment content did not differ significantly between milk from bulk tank and individual producer, milk from bulk tank showed a relatively higher sediment content value than that from individual producer. Sediment is the insoluble portion of foreign material that may get into milk from animals, equipment, or the environment. Most extraneous material that enters milk however, is soluble and does not appear as sediment (Campbell and Marshall, 1975). American Public Health Association (1972) reported

**Table 3**  
**Effect of season and source of samples on acidity, sediment and methylene blue reduction of milk**

Source of samples	Season	No. of samples	Kind of test		
			TA % Acidity %	MBRT Hours	Sediment mg/1/2 liter milk
Individual	Winter	15	0.198 A <sup>1</sup>	4 A <sup>2</sup>	0.591 A <sup>3</sup>
Procedure	Summer	15	0.206 BC	3 B	0.598 A
Milk	Winter	15	0.205 B	3 B	0.645 A
Tank	Summer	15	0.208 C	2 C	0.699 A

- 1 Means in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).
- 2 Means in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ).
- 3 Not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ).

that sediment in the raw milk should be less than 0.075 mg/1/2 liter while the results of this study revealed noticeable increase in the sediment.

Laboratory tests serve as a valuable test in improving milk quality and correcting sanitation failures. Fadhilia inspections revealed that, producer with a history of two successive high bacterial counts used a dirty equipment in their milking system and satisfactory cleaning was not being accomplished.

The data obtained in this study suggests that the tests used are reliable indicators for the sanitary measurements to be required for examining the raw milk.

## REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association (1972). Standard methods for the examination of dairy products. 13th. Ed. Amer. Public Health Ass., Washington.
- Campbell, J.R. and Marshall, R.T. (1975). The Science for providing milk for man. McGraw-Hill productions in the Agricultural Sciences. U.S.A.
- Clunei Harvey, W.C. and Hill, H. (1967). Milk production and control. 4th Ed. H.K. Lewis and Co. Ltd., London.
- Diliello, L.R. (1982). Methods in Food and Dairy Microbiology. AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.
- Galton, D.M., Adkinson, R.W., Thomas, C.V. and Smith, T.W., (1982). Effects of premilking udder preparation on environmental bacterial contamination of milk. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 65:1540.
- Galton, D.M., Petersson, L.G., Merrill, W.G., Bandler, D.K. and Shuster, D.E., (1984). Effects of premilking udder preparation on bacterial population, sediment, and iodine residue in milk. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 67:2580.
- Henderson, J.L. (1971). The fluid-milk industry, 3rd Edition. AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.
- Johns, C.K. (1975). Use of counts after preliminary incubation to improve raw milk quality for a Denver Plant. *J. Milk Food Technol.* 38:481.
- Law, B.A., Cousins, C.M., Sharp, M.E., and Davis, F.L. (1979). Cold tolerant microbes in spoilage and the environment. London/ New York : Academic press.
- Marth, E.H. (1978). Standard methods for the examination of Dairy Products, 14th Ed. Am. Public Health Assoc., Washington, D.C.



Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1968). Statistical methods. Iowa State University Press. Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.

Speck, M.L., Editor, (1976). Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. PP. 417-423.

Vedamuthu, E.R., Hankin, L., Ordal, Z.J. and Carl Vanderzant, (1978). Standard methods for the examination of Dairy Products, 14th. Ed. Washington, D.C. : American Public Health, Association, PP. 107-113.

المصادر العربية

-----

عماد جاسم جواد الزيبيدي (1985) بعض الصفات النوعية للحليب  
الخام المستلم في مصنع البنان البصرة، رسالة ماجستير - جامعة  
البصرة.

دراسة نوعية الحليب المنتج في قرية الفضلية  
والقرية من بغداد في العراق

نجم هادي نجم، عباس محمد العزاوي و حكمت صاحب الناصر، فرع  
الصحة العامة، كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة بغداد.

الخلاصة

في محاولة لتسليط الضوء على نوعية الحليب المنتج في قرية  
الفضلية والقرية من بغداد (احدى اكبر مصادر الحليب الخام)

درس تأثير التغيرات الحرارية حراء تعاقب فصول السنة وتأثير طريقة حزن الحليب (في دبات المجهزين او حوض التجميع في مركز تجميع الحليب) على بعض الصفات المايكروبيولوجية للحليب الخام .

اوضح نتائج الدراسة بيان العدد الجرثومي الكلي وعدد سكتريا

القولون لنماتح الحليب المأخوذة من دبات المجهزين (حليب المنتج الواحد) كان  $1.0 \times 10^7$  و  $1.0 \times 10^2$  في فصل الصيف و  $1.0 \times 10^8$  و  $1.0 \times 10^5$  في فصل الشتاء

في فصل الشتاء على التوالي. اما الاعداد الجرثومية السابقة الذكر لنماتح الحليب المأخوذة من حوض التجميع (اكثر من منتج) كانت  $1.0 \times 10^3$  و  $1.0 \times 10^8$  في فصل الصيف و  $1.0 \times 10^5$  و  $1.0 \times 10^3$  في فصل الشتاء على التوالي.

كانت اعداد البيكتريا المحبة للبرودة في كل من الحليب المحزون في حوض التجميع او دبات المجهزين في كل من الصيف والشتاء هي اعلى بكثير عن الاعداد القياسية المقترحة. ظهرت اختلافات واضحة في زمن اختزال المشيليس الازرق وذلك بفقر زمن الاختزال في حليب الصيف عن حليب الشتاء وفي الحليب المحزون في حوض التجميع عن حليب الدبات. بالإضافة الى ذلك تبين نتائج اختبار حموضة التسحيح ان حموضة حليب الصيف اكثر من حموضة حليب الشتاء.