





Comparison Between Nested-PCR and ELISA for the Detection of *Toxoplasma* gondii in Blood and Milk and its Genotyping in Lactating Goats and Aborted Women in Iraq

Entesar H Madi^{*1}, Firas R Al-Samarai², Yusuf M Maaeni³, Shishir K Gangwar⁴

¹Zoonotic Diseases Unit, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq, ²Department of Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq, ³Department of Research Animal Resources, Office of Agricultural Research, Ministry of Agriculture, Baghdad, Iraq, ⁴Department of Animal Science, Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India



Madi EH, Al-Samarai FR, Maaeni YM, Gangwar SK. Comparison between nested-PCR and ELISA for the detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in blood and milk and its genotyping in lactating goats and aborted women in Iraq. Iraqi J. Vet. Med. 2022;46(2):53-59.

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to assess enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and nested-polymerase chain reaction (n-PCR) methods based on B1 gene for the detection of Toxoplasma (T.) gondii in the blood and milk of local Iraqi goats. The SAG3 gene was also used to identify the genotyping of T. gondii in goats and aborted women in Iraq. A total of 240 (80 blood, 80 sera, 80 milk) lactating goats and 30 blood samples from aborted women were included in this study. A total of 17 (21.2%) infected goats were found in blood samples and 23 (28.7%) in milk samples when using n-PCR, while the numbers were 23 (28.7%) and 17 (21.2%) when using ELISA. Aborted women had an overall infection rate of 50% when using ELISA and 33% when using n-PCR. The degree of agreement between n-PCR in milk and blood was almost perfect (Kappa=0.801), with a sensitivity of 100 and a specificity of 90.5, while there was a slight degree of agreement (Kappa=0.14) between n-PCR and ELISA in blood, with 58.8 sensitivity and 74.6 specificity. The results of the comparison between n-PCR in blood and ELISA in milk showed positive samples of 17 (21.2%) for each, with 82.4 sensitivity and 22.2 specificity, and no agreement (Kappa=-0.046). Sequencing of the SAG3 gene of T. gondii from goat and human isolates showed that the similarity ranged from 98.65–99.90% for genotypes I and III. In conclusion, n-PCR may be more accurate than ELISA for detecting T. gondii in blood and milk. In addition, the phylogenetic tree's evidence of a high degree of similarity between human and goat isolates provides further evidence that goats are an important reservoir of T. gondii and that public awareness is necessary.

Keywords: Toxoplasma gondii, SAG3, B1 gene, ELISA, nPCR, woman, abortion, Iraq

INTRODUCTION

Toxoplasmosis is caused by the opportunistic intracellular protozoan *Toxoplasma* (*T.*) *gondii*, a member of the phylum Apicomplexa (1). Cats are the main host despite the parasite can infect any warm-blooded mammals; including humans, as a result, it is found across the world and may cause both acute and chronic toxoplasmosis (2). Around a third of the world's population is impacted by the parasite (3). The infection can be contracted by ingesting tissue cysts from infected intermediate hosts' meat or oocysts from cat feces through polluted water or food (4).

Goat and sheep toxoplasmosis is important because it causes significant economic and production losses and can be transmitted to humans (5). Because of their higher activity and mobility, goats are more likely to come into contact with polluted sources, making them more susceptible to toxoplasmosis than sheep (6).

The acute phase in immunocompetent individuals causes transient low or mild symptoms that are unrecognized. *T. gondii* has been a life-threatening opportunistic infection that could result from the reactivation of silent infection or primary infection in immunocompromised people (7). Furthermore, congenital toxoplasmosis occurs when tachyzoites migrate via the placenta into the baby during a pregnant primary infection, which could lead to miscarriage, stillbirth, ocular, or neurologic illness, and neurocognitive defect in the newborns (7). Goats infected with toxoplasmosis are a major source of human infection due to the consumption of meat and milk from infected animals (8). Goats excrete tachyzoites in their milk (9, 10) and are resistant to processing in fresh cheese (11).

Many diagnostic methods for *T. gondii* were applied, such as serological detection by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and latex agglutination test (LAT), along with cell line culture, bioassays, and molecular techniques (12). Amplification-based assays, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nested PCR (n-PCR), and real-time PCR, have been widely developed for quick, definite, and accurate detection of toxoplasmosis among various detection techniques (13, 14). Among the sequences examined were SAG1, SAG2C, SAG2D, SAG2X, SRS52A, SAG3, MIC1, MICA2P, GRA5, GRA6, and GRA3 for HLA-A02 specific peptide binding, SAG1, SAG2C, GRA5, GRA6, and GRA7 for HLA-A03 specific peptide binding, and GRA3 and GRA7 for HLA-B07 specific peptide binding (15).

The current study aimed to compare serological and molecular methods for detecting *T. gondii* in blood and milk in humans and goats. Genetic analysis of the SAG3 gene was also used to identify the genetic sequences of *T. gondii*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All procedures used in this study were reviewed and approved by The Scientific Committee of the Department of Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, and the Ethics Committee of the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq in compliance with the ethical principles of animal welfare.

Animals and Sample Collection

The research was conducted at the Ruminant Research Station of the General Authority for Agricultural Research, Ministry of Agriculture, Baghdad, Iraq (location 1) and at AL-Dibuni Research Station for Research, Wasit, Iraq (location 2). Between 2021-2022, a total of 80 (40 from each location) adult lactating local breed goats were included in the study.

Samples collection

A total of 240 samples (80 blood, 80 sera, and 80 milk) from 80 goats were collected and subjected to the ELISA test and n-PCR. Eighty lactating goats (two tubes) Blood sample (10 mL) was drawn from the jugular vein using disposable needles and Vacutainer tubes (gel tubes) and then brought to the laboratory in a cooler box. Serum was extracted from blood samples by centrifugation at 2000 g for 10 min and kept at -20 °C in labeled Eppendorf tubes for ELISA. Blood samples for the molecular detection were also obtained from the jugular vein using a medical syringe with a capacity of 10 mL (Vacum Tube Needle) with EDTA, and the samples were kept at -20 °C till the DNA extraction.

Milk samples were taken from 80 lactating goats using plastic tubes with a capacity of 100 mL for ELISA IgG (Toxo-IgG) using ELISA Kit for Goat *Toxoplasma gondii* (SunLong Biotech Co., LTD, China), and the milk samples were also used for DNA extraction.

Nested PCR

For the molecular detection, the DNA was extracted from blood of goats and humans and milk from lactating goats using G-spinTM Total DNA Extraction Kit (iNtRON, Korea). The n-PCR approach was utilized to identify the presence of *T. gondii* DNA in circulating blood after using ELISA. Serological screening was applied to investigate the possibility of an accurate diagnosis in aborted women. This technique was performed for the direct detection of *T. gondii*, based on the *B1* gene, from animal blood, milk, and human blood samples. This technique was conducted according to the method described by Halleyantoro et al. (16). The primers were provided by Scientific Researchers. Co. Ltd, Iraq (Table 1).

PCR for SAG3 Gene

The PCR technique was performed to detect the SAG3 gene in Toxoplasma gondii in blood samples of humans and goat. SAG3 was used for DNA sequencing for genotyping analysis. This method was carried out according to the method described by Vitale et al. (17). Related NCBI-Blast related *T. gondii* clonal lineages genotype I, II, and III isolates were submitted into NCBI GenBank and identified by accession numbers.

Table 1. Primer sequences used in the PCR and n-PCR or for amplifying the B1 gene of Toxoplasma gondii

Primer		5'-3' sequence	Product size
B1*	F	GGAACTGCATCCGTTCATGAG	100
	R	GGCGACCAATCTGCGAATACACC	160
B1**	F	TGCATAGGTTGCAGTCACTG	131
	R	TCTTTAAAGCGTTCGTGGTC	151

PCR primer (first), **Nested primer (second)

Statistical Analysis

A comparison was made between the results to obtain sensitivity, specificity, and kappa coefficient. The kappa coefficient classification included: poor agreement = less than 0.00, slight agreement = 0.00-0.20, fair agreement = 0.21-040, moderate agreement = 0.41-0.60, substantial agreement = 0.61-0.80, and almost perfect agreement = 0.80-1.00 (18).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total Infection Rate

The prevalence of *T. gondii* was 21.2% and 28.7% by n-PCR in blood and milk, respectively (Table 2). The kappa was 0.801, which indicated a high agreement between the two tests with a sensitivity of 100 and specificity of 90.5. Similar results were reported by (19) in which the *T. gondii* DNA was detected in seven milk samples (28%) and five blood samples (20%) of sheep out of 25 samples. The high degree of kappa is in line with a study that included 127 adult lactating goats from 6 farms in Italy and reported that 13% of blood and milk samples were positive for n-PCR with a kappa coefficient of 1 (4).

Table 2. Comparison between infection rates of *Toxoplasma gondii* in local lactating goats using n-PCR in milk and blood

Test		Blood n-PCR			
Milk n-PCR	Negative	Positive	Total n (%)		
Negative	57	0	57 (71.3)		
Positive	6	17	23 (28.7)		
Total n (%)	63 (78.7)	17 (21.2%)	80		
Weighted kappa			0.801		
Sensitivity			100		
Specificity			90.5		

Out of 30 blood samples in aborted women, 15 (50%) and 10 (33.4%) were positive for ELISA and n-PCR, respectively. The high prevalence found by ELISA is consistent with the findings of (20), who used ELISA to analyze blood samples from women with a history of abortion and found that 148 (30.83%) were positive. In comparison, n-PCR detected 30 (20.27%) of the 148 positive samples. The high prevalence of aborted women in the present study may indicates that *T. gondii* infection could be contributed to abortion.

Table 3 illustrates that blood ELISA detected 23 (28.7%), while blood n-PCR detected only 17 (21.2%). The kappa coefficient of 0.14. indicated a slight agreement between the two tests, with a sensitivity of 58.82 and a specificity of 74.60. Higher prevalence was detected by serological assays (51.76%) compared with molecular diagnosis (17.65%) of the *T. gondii* in the blood of sheep, as reported by (21). The difference in prevalence detected by the n-PCR assay compared to ELISA in the blood may be due to *T. gondii* being more tenacious in tissues than in blood after infection. Consequently, the umbilical cord, heart, placenta, and brain are appropriate samples for PCR analysis (22, 23). As well as in processed meat in sheep using PCR (24). Camossi et al. (5) and Silva et al. (25)

reported that the serology results sometimes differ from the PCR results.

Table 3. Comparison between infection rates of *Toxoplasma gondii* in local Lactating goats using ELISA and n-PCR in blood

Test		Blood n-PCR				
Serum ELISA	Negative	Positive	Total n (%)			
Negative	47	10	57 (71.3)			
Positive	16	7	23 (28.7)			
Total n (%)	63 (78.7)	17 (21.2%)	80			
Weighted kappa			0.140			
Sensitivity			58.8			
Specificity			74.6			

 Table 4. Comparison between infection rates of *Toxoplasma gondii* in local lactating goats using ELISA in milk and n-PCR in blood

Test		Blood n-PCR				
Milk ELISA	Negative	Positive	Total n (%)			
Negative	49	14	63 (78.7)			
Positive	14	3	17 (21.2)			
Total n (%)	63 (78.7)	17 (21.2)	80			
Weighted kappa			-0.046			
Sensitivity			82.4			
Specificity			22.2			

Despite the positive cases of ELISA on milk and n-PCR on blood, the kappa coefficient (-0.046) indicated no agreement between tests with a sensitivity of 82.35 and specificity of 22.2 (Table 4). The results of this study are comparable to those of (26), who reported that the anti-T. gondii antibodies were present in the sheep's 41.5% (114/275). However, there was no association between milk parasite excretion and IgG presence. A low kappa value presented no agreement between seroprevalence and the prevalence of parasites in milk. The animals tested positive for milk in the PCR but negative in the serology might be in the early stages of the disease, with inadequate antibodies to be identified in the serology. Thus, the presence of T. gondii DNA in goat milk does not imply that the parasite is still alive (10). Because IgGs are detectable within 1-2 weeks of infection and reach their peak between 1-2 months later, they are present for the rest of one's life at levels that progressively drop (27). The results disagreed with those obtained by (28) in Northwest Tunisia, where ELISA reported a rate of 31.2%, while a rate of 7.8% by n-PCR. According to (29), the low incidence of T. gondii observed by qPCR is due to the parasite being limited to organs and tissues rather than being dispersed in circulation. The n-PCR test in blood has the advantage of identification of recent and active toxoplasmosis (30).

Nested PCR Product Analysis

The samples were obtained from aborted women blood and the milk of goats. Lanes 1-15 showed some *T. gondii* at 131 bp. Nested PCR product and N represent negative control samples based on the B1 gene (Figures 1 and 2).



Figure 1. Agarose gel (1%) electrophoresis image shows the nested-PCR product analysis of the B1 gene of *Toxoplasma gondii* from aborted women blood samples. M: marker (1500-100 bp), Lanes (1-15)

DNA Sequencing

The phylogenetic tree analysis showed that the local isolates of *T. gondii* in goats (Tg-IQ-Goat. 1, Tg-IQ-Goat. 2, and Tg-IQ-Goat. 4) and the *T. gondii* of human isolates (Tg-IQ-human. 3, Tg-IQ-human. 4, and Tg-IQ-human. 5) were close in the genetic relationship in genotype III (AF340229.1). Similarly, the *T. gondii* goats' isolates (Tg-IQ-Goat. 3 and Tg-IQ-Goat. 5) and the *T. gondii* human isolates (Tg-IQ-human. 1 and Tg-IQ-human. 2) were also found to be genetically close to genotype I (AF340227.1). Still, there was no similarity with *T. gondii* of genotype II (AF340228.1) at a total genetic change of 0.01, as shown in Figure 3. The homology sequence identity between *T.*



Figure 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis image shows the nested-PCR product analysis of the B1 gene of *Toxoplasma gondii* from milk samples. Where M: marker (1500-100bp), Lanes (1-15) Electrophoresis of a 180 bp fragment of E. coli 0157:H7 stx1 gene as electrophoresed for 1 hour on ethidium bromidestained agarose (2%) gel at 70 volt/cm2 and 1x TBE. Lane M: DNA ladder (100 bp). Amplification of PCR product was found [III] isolates showed genetic homology sequence identity ranging from (98.65-99.90%) (Table 5).

The present study's fin10dings regarding the prevalence of *T. gondii* in goats revealed the significance of controlling this disease. The high number of women in this study who had abortions shows that *T. gondii* infection is linked to abortion. The genetic homology sequence identity ranged from (98.65 to 99.90%) in goats and humans, indicating the parasites caused zoonotic disease and based on the current study's findings, the possibility of *Toxoplasma* transmission through the consumption of raw milk and an impact on public health.



Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree analysis based on the complete sequence of surface antigen (SAG3) gene in T. gondii of local goats and human isolates used for genotyping analysis

Table 5. Homology sequence identity between Toxoplasma gondii isolated from local goats and humans isolates compared to Toxoplasma gondii genotypes deposited on NCBI BLAST

		Homology sequence identity		
Toxoplasma gondii isolates number	GenBank accession number	Genotype	GenBank accession number	Identity %
Tg-IQ-Goat.1	OL792791	III	AF340229.1	99.90
Tg-IQ-Goat.2	OL792792	III	AF340229.1	99.9.
Tg-IQ-Goat.3	OL792793	Ι	AF340227.1	99.51
Tg-IQ-Goat.4	OL792794	III	AF340229.1	99.90
Tg-IQ-Goat.5	OL792795	Ι	AF340227.1	91.70
Tg-IQ-human.1	OL792796	Ι	AF340227.1	99.28
Tg-IQ-human.2	OL792797	Ι	AF340227.1	99.90
Tg-IQ-human.3	OL792798	III	AF340229.1	99.90
Tg-IQ-human.4	OL792799	III	AF340229.1	99.90
Tg-IQ-human.5	OL792800	III	AF340229.1	99.90

Tg-IQ-Goat.3	GGGTTTGGGGGGGGGGGTTTTGGGTTGGGGGGGG
Tg-IQ-Goat.5	GGGGGGGGTTTTGGGTTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
Tg-IQ-Human.1	TTTTCGCGGCTTTTGGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
AF340227.1-genotype_I	GTCTTTGCCGCTCGGGTGTTTTTTCGCGGCTTTTGGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
Tg-IQ-Human.2	TTTTCGCGGCTTTTGGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
Tg-IQ-Goat.2	GGTTTGGCGTGTTGTGCGTGTTGTGCGTGTTGTGCGTGTTGT
Tg-IQ-Human.5	GGTTTGTGCGTGTTGTGCGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTG
Tg-IQ-Goat.1	GGTTTGTGCGTGTTGTGCGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTG
Tg-IQ-Goat.4	GGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
Tg-IQ-Human.3	GGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
Tg-IQ-Human.4	GGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
AF340229.1-genotype III	GTCTTTGCCGCTCGGGTGTTTTTTCGCGGCCTTTTGGTTTGTGCGTGTTGT
AF340228.1-genotype II	GTCTTTGCCGCTCGGGTGTTTTTTCGCGGCTTTTGGTTTGTGTGTGTTGT
_	******************
Tg-IQ-Goat.3	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGGAAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.5	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGGAAA
Tg-IQ-Human.1	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGGAAA
AF340227.1-genotype_I	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGGAAA
Tg-IQ-Human.2	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGGAAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.2	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
Tg-IQ-Human.5	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.1	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.4	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
Tg-IQ-Human.3	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
Tg-IQ-Human.4	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
AF340229.1-genotype_III	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCACGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGTAAA
AF340228.1-genotype_II	CTGCGATCTTGGGAACCGGAGAGCGCGGACTGTTCGTCGCCGCAGGGAAC
Ar540220.1 genocype_11	**************************************
Tg-IQ-Goat.3	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACCTATTTTGGCACGCTCCTCAAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.5	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACCTATTTTGGCACGCTCCTCAAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Human.1	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACCTATTTTGGCACGCTCCTCAAGAAGGCTCCGAA
AF340227.1-genotype I	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACCTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Human.2	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACCTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.2	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Human.5	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.1	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Goat.4	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Human.3	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
Tg-IQ-Human.4	
AF340229.1-genotype_III	TCGAGAAGTAAGATAACTTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
AF340228.1-genotype_II	TCGAGAAGAAAGATAACCTATTTTGGCACGCTCACTCAGAAGGCTCCGAA
	****** ******** ***********************
Tg-IQ-Goat.3	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAATGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Goat.5	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAATGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Human.1	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAATGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAATGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
AF340227.1-genotype_I	
Tg-IQ-Human.2	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAATGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Goat.2	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Human.5	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Goat.1	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Goat.4	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Human.3	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
Tg-IQ-Human.4	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
AF340229.1-genotype_III	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCTTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG
AF340228.1-genotype_II	CTGGTACCGCTGCTCCTCAACGAGGGCGAAAGAAGAGGTCGTAGGACATG

Tg-IQ-Goat.3	TGACGCTGAACAAAGAGCACCCTGATATGACAATTGAATGCGTCGACGAC
Tg-IQ-Goat.5	TGACGCTGAACAAAGAGCACCCTGATATGACAATTGAATGCGTCGACGAC
Tg-IQ-Human.1	TGACGCTGAACAAAGAGCACCCTGATATGACAATTGAATGCGTCGACGAC
AF340227.1-genotype_I	TGACGCTGAACAAAGAGCACCCTGATATGACAATTGAATGCGTCGACGAC
Tg-IQ-Human.2	TGACGCTGAACAAAGAGCACCCTGATATGACAATTGAATGCGTCGACGAC
19 12 Human.2	101000101110AAABABCACCCIGAIAIBACAAIIGAAIGCGICGACGAC

Figure 4. Multiple sequence alignment analysis of *Toxoplasma gondii* surface antigen (SAG3) gene complete sequence in local goats and human blood-positive samples with NCBI-GenBank *Toxoplasma gondii* genotypes isolates. The multiple alignment analysis was constructed using (The clustalW alignment tool. Online). That alignment analysis showed the nucleotide alignment similarity as (*) and substitution mutations in the SAG3 gene between isolates

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dubey JP, Murata FH, Cerqueira-Cézar CK, Kwok OC. Public health and economic importance of *Toxoplasma gondii* infections in goats: the last decade. Res Vet Sci. 2020;1: 132:292-307.
- Djurković-Djaković O, Dupouy-Camet J, Van der Giessen J, Dubey JP. Toxoplasmosis: an overview from a one health perspective. Food Waterborne Parasitol. 2019; 1:15: e00054.
- 3. Freppel W, Ferguson DJ, Shapiro K, Dubey JP, Puech PH, Dumètre A. Structure, composition, and roles of the *Toxoplasma gondii* oocyst and sporocyst walls. Cell Surf. 2019; 1: 5:100016.
- Mancianti F, Nardoni S, D'Ascenzi C, Pedonese F, Mugnaini L, Franco F, et al. Seroprevalence, detection of DNA in blood and milk, and genotyping of *Toxoplasma gondii* in a goat population in Italy. Biomed Res Int. 2013; 2013: 905326.
- Camossi LG, Greca-Júnior H, Corrêa AP, Richini-Pereira VB, Silva RC, Da Silva AV, et al. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in the milk of naturally infected ewes. Vet Parasitol. 2011; 177(3-4):256-261.
- Abu-Dalbouh MA, Ababneh MM, Giadinis ND, Lafi SQ. Ovine and caprine toxoplasmosis (*Toxoplasma gondii*) in aborted animals in Jordanian goat and sheep flocks. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2012; 44(1):49-54.
- Manuel L, Santos-Gomes G, Noormahomed EV. Human toxoplasmosis in Mozambique: gaps in knowledge and research opportunities. Parasitol Vectors. 2020;13(1):1-0.
- 8. Dubey JP. Toxoplasmosis–a waterborne zoonosis. Vet Parasitol. 2004; 126(1-2):57-72.
- Spišák F, Turčeková Ľ, Reiterová K, Špilovská S, Dubinský P. Prevalence estimation and genotypization of *Toxoplasma gondii* in goats. Biologia. 2010; 65(4):670-674.
- Bezerra MJ, Kim PC, Moraes ÉP, Sá SG, Albuquerque PP, Silva JG, et al. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in the milk of naturally infected goats in the Northeast of Brazil. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2015; 62(4):421-424.
- 11. Dubey JP, Verma SK, Ferreira LR, Oliveira S, Cassinelli AB, Ying Y, et al. Detection and survival of *Toxoplasma gondii* in milk and cheese from experimentally infected goats. J Food Prot. 2014; 77(10):1747-1753.
- Switaj K, Master A, Skrzypczak M, Zaborowski P. Recent trends in molecular diagnostics for *Toxoplasma gondii* infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2005; 11(3):170-176.
- 13. Liu Q, Wang ZD, Huang SY, Zhu XQ. Diagnosis of toxoplasmosis and typing of *Toxoplasma gondii*. Parasite Vector. 2015; 8(1):1-4.
- 14. Bretagne S. Molecular diagnostics in clinical parasitology and mycology: limits of the current polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

assays and interest of the real-time PCR assays. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2003; 9(6):505-511.

- 15. Cong H, Mui EJ, Witola WH, Sidney J, Alexander J, Sette A, et al. *Toxoplasma gondii* HLA-B*0702-restricted GRA7(20-28) peptide with adjuvants and a universal helper T cell epitope elicits CD8(+) T cells producing interferon- γ and reduces parasite burden in HLA-B*0702 mice. Hum Immunol. 2012; 73(1):1-10.
- 16. Halleyantoro R, Andriyani Y, Sari IP, Kurniawan A. Nested PCR methods for detection *Toxoplasma gondii* B1 gene in cerebrospinal fluid of HIV patients. J Biomed Transl Res. 2019; 5(2):62-66.
- 17. Vitale DC, Armenakis AA, Feild HS. Integrating qualitative and quantitative methods for organizational diagnosis: Possible priming effects? J Mix Meth Res. 2008; 2(1):87-105.
- 18. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics, 1977; 33(1):159-174.
- Luptakova L, Benova K, Rencko A, Petrovova E. DNA detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in sheep milk and blood samples in relation to phase of infection. Vet Parasitol. 2015; 208(3-4):250-253.
- 20. Saki J, Zamanpour M, Najafian M, Mohammadpour N, Foroutan M. Detection of acute and chronic *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among women with history of abortion in the Southwest of Iran. J Parasitol Res. 2021; 2:2021.
- 21. Ibrahim HM, Mohamed AH, El-Sharaawy AA, El-Shqanqery HE. Molecular and serological prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in pregnant women and sheep in Egypt. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2017; 10(10):996-1001.
- Mason S, Quinnell RJ, Smith JE. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in lambs via PCR screening and serological follow-up. Vet Parasitol 2010; 169(3-4):258-63.
- Duncanson P, Terry RS, Smith JE, Hide G. High levels of congenital transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii* in a commercial sheep flock. Int J Parasitol. 2001; 31(14):1699-703.
- 24. Kijlstra A, Jongert E. *Toxoplasma*-safe meat: close to reality? Trends in Parasitol.2009; 25(1):18-22.
- Silva RC da, Silva AV, da and Langoni H. Recrudescence of *Toxoplasma* gondii infection in chronically infected rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). Exp Parasitol. 2010;125(4): 409-412.
- 26. de Santana Rocha D, de Sousa Moura RL, Maciel BM, Guimarães LA, O'dwyer HN, Munhoz AD, et al. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in naturally infected sheep's milk. Genet Mol Res. 2015; 14(3):8658-8662.
- 27. Montoya JG. Laboratory diagnosis of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection and toxoplasmosis. J Infect Dis. 2002; 185(Supplement_1): S73-82.
- Amairia S, Rouatbi M, Rjeibi MR, Nouasri H, Sassi L, Mhadhbi M, Gharbi M. Molecular prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in goats' milk and seroprevalence in Northwest Tunisia. Vet Medicine Sci. 2016;2(3):154-160.
- 29. Saad NM, Hussein AA, Ewida RM. Occurrence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in raw goat, sheep, and camel milk in Upper Egypt. Vet World. 2018; 11(9):1262.
- Mahmood AS, Al-Sarray SA, Al-Kazaz A. PCR detection of *Toxoplasma* gondii B1 gene in women suffering from abortion. J Biotech Res Cen. 2019; 13(1):12-5.

مقارنة بين ELISA و Nested PCR في الكشف عن Toxoplasma gondii في الدم والحليب وتحليل شجرة التحرية النشوء والتطور في الماعز والنساء المجهضة في العراق

أنتصار حسين ماضى ، فراس رشاد السامرائى ٢، يوسف محمد عطية المعينى ٣، شيشر كانكور ٤

وحدة لأمراض المشتركة، كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق، ^تفرع الصحة العامة البيطرية، كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق، قسم بحوث الثروة الحيوانية، دائرة البحوث الزراعية، وزارة الزراعة، بغداد، العراق، جامعة راجندرا براساد الزراعية المركزية، بوسا، ساماستيبور، بيهار، الهند

الخلاصة

هدفت الدراسة الحالية إلى تقييم طريقة ELISA و (PCR (nPCR) الكشف عن *T. gondii* في دم وحليب الماعز المحلي العراقي ، وكذلك التعرف على النمط الجيني للماعز والنساء المجهضة في العراق. تضمنت الدراسة ما مجموعه ٢٤ عينه (٨٠ دم ٨٠ سيرم، حليب ٨٠) من انثى ٨٠ ماعز حلوب و ٣٠ دم من النساء المجهضات بااستخدام ال n-PCR و (NPCR. و ٤٢ دم من النصابة في الماعز بالستخدام ال ELISA. أظهرت النتائج ان اجمالي الاصابة في الماعز بلغت ١٧ (٢١.٢) أو ٢٢ (٢٨.٧) لن التقالي وبالمقارنة ، كانت النتائج ٢٢ (٢٨.٧) في الدم و ١٧ (٢٢.٧) في الحليب بواسطة ELISA وكان إجمالي الإصابة في الماعز بلغت ١٧ (٢١.٧) أو ٢٢ (٢٨.٧) في الحليب بواسطة ELISA وكان إجمالي الإصابة في الناعز بلغت ١٧ (٢٠.٧) أو ٢٢ (٢٨.٧) في الدم و ١٧ (٢٢.٧) في الدم و ٢١ (٢٨.٧) في الحليب بواسطة PCR. أنسان محموعية عنه (٢٠ (٢٠.٧) لل n-PCR في الدم والحليب على التوالي وبالمقارنة ، كانت النتائج ٢٣ (٢٨.٧) في الدم و ١٧ (٢١.٧) في الحليب بواسطة ELISA وكان إجمالي الإصابة في الناعز بلغت ١٧ (٢٠.٧) و ٢٠ (٢٠.٧) و ٢٠ (٢٠.٤) بواسطة nPCR. أشارت النتائج إلى توافق عال وفقًا لمعامل كابا (٠،)، مع حساسية ١٠ ونوعية ٢٠. كما أوضحت النتائج الى تالارت مع عالي وفقًا لمعامل كابا الى توافق طفيف بين الاختبارين ، مع حساسية (٢٠٤). و ٢٠ (٢٠.٢٧) من الماعز كانت موجبة لأختبار nPCR. أشار معامل كابا الى توافق طفيف بين الاختبارين ، مع حساسية (٢٠.١٧). أظهرت نتائج الماعز كانت موجبة لأختبار (٢٠٢٨) مع عدم توافق (كابا مع معرفي وي ٢٤.٢٩). أظهرت نتائج المهرت من الماعز كانت موجبة لأختبار (٢٠٢٢) مع عدم توافق (٢٠.٢) مع عدم توافق (٢٠.٢٩). أظهرت نتائج تلامي تائية من الماعز عال معرفي وي (٢٢.٢٩) مع عدم توافق (٢٠.٢٩). أظهرت نتائج معرفي مع مع معلي الماعز والن بين مع حساسية (٢٠.٢٩) مع عدم توافق (كابا=خ.٩٠.٥). أظهرت نتائج معرفي وي مع معرفي مع مع معرفي مع مع معامل كابا الى توافق طفيف بين الاختبارين ، مع حساسية (٢٤.٢٩). أظهرت نتائج معرفي معرفي الاميرت مع معرفي والقارنة ، وكان مع مارع ورو مع معرفي معرفي مع معرفي وي (٢٤.٢٩) مع عدم توافق (٢٠.٢٩) مع عدم توافق رفي مع مع مع مو ورفي والتران ، مع مع موافق معرفي والد معرفي مع معرفي ورفع مع معرفي ورومانه مع معرفي والمع مع معرفي والذليب عي والا معرفي مع والدم مع معرفي والم والترانة ، يمع مع معنوف مع مع موافق مع مع مو