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**Abstract**

The prevalence of house mouse (*Mus musculus*) Hymenolepiasis was determined in Baghdad, Iraq to study the effects of location, sex, and months on the infection rate of *Hymenolepis* spp. in house mice. Fifty house mice were captured from Abu Ghaib and Al-Ameriya, Baghdad, Iraq, and examined for detecting parasites in laboratory in College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad. The total infection prevalence of intestinal parasites was 11 (22%) out of 50 samples, the higher prevalence was 28.57% and found in Abu Ghaib area, while lower was (13.63%) and recorded in Al-Ameriya area. The study revealed that the house mice were infected with two species of *Hymenolepis*: *Hymenolepis nana* 4 (8%) and *Hymenolepis diminuta* 7 (14%). The intestinal parasites revealed a significance prevalence value (*P*<0.05). There was statistical difference in between males and females in parasites infection, in which the higher rate was 8 (27.58%) and recorded in males and the lower was 3 (14.28%) and observed in females. The monthly distribution of confirmed cases over a 9-month period revealed that reported cases of house mice and Hymenolepiasis increased significantly (*P*<0.01) in autumn (65.44%), followed by winter (15.38%), and summer (13.33%). The findings showed that house mice play an important role in the spread of zoonotic parasitic illnesses to people, as well as attention must pay to public health.
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**Introduction**

The house mouse (*Mus musculus*) and the black rat (*Rattus rattus*) are two of the most widespread mammals in the world (1). These species are serious pests in urban and rural environments. They are the cause of extensive economic damage to crops, stored food, farms, industries, and households (2). Rats and mice act as definitive hosts of *Hymenolepis diminuta* (3, 4) and it is found in humans only occasionally, since it needs an arthropod to complete its life cycle (4). In general, cestodes of the genus *Hymenolepis* require arthropod intermediate hosts in their life cycle, except for *Hymenolepis nana* *H. nana*, which is the only cestode known to be transmitted directly to another definitive host, in rodents. Light infections with *Hymenolepis* are usually non-pathogenic, but heavy infections can cause acute catarrhal enteritis or chronic enterocolitis (5). *Hymenolepis nana*, is also able to complete its entire life cycle in a single host and is therefore capable of autoinfection (6). *Hymenolepis nana*, in different rodents, such as rats and mice, is morphologically similar to human *H. nana* (7). *H. diminuta* in rats is transmitted by arthropod vectors. The affected rodents show inappetence, dullness, weakness, loss of body weight, pale mucous membrane, rough hair coat and mortality (8). Eggs of *H. diminuta* are released in faces of the infected host. Mature eggs of the tapeworm are ingested by an intermediate host (various arthropod adults or larvae). The oncospheres are released from the eggs and penetrate the intestinal wall of the arthropod and develop into cysticercoid larvae. The
definitive host acquires infection by ingestion of the infected arthropods. Occasionally, humans are infected by accidental ingestion of the infected arthropods, and thus *H. diminuta* has a zoonotic significance (9-11). Sharma et al. (12) showed that the rodents were infected with two species of cestodes *H. nana* (11.42%) and *H. diminuta* (5.71%) in mice. Panti-May et al. (13) who stated that *Hymenolepis diminuta* was the most prevalent pathogen among rodents (5.6%). Black rats were more frequently infected with *H. diminuta* (14.2%) than house mice (0.5%). Panti-May et al. (14) found that the infection with *H. diminuta* was more prevalent in *R. rattus* (14.1%) than in *M. musculus* (0.6%) in Yucatán, Mexico. Moreover, Al-Bajalan (15) reported that only one house mouse was found infected with *H. nana* with infection rate of 0.85% (one out of 117) and none of the mice were found infected with *H. diminuta* in Kalar district, Sulaymaniyah province, Iraq.

The aim of this study was to investigate Hymenolepididae species found in house mice, to evaluate location, sex, and season factors that related to infection rate.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fecal Sample Collection**

Fifty house mice (*Mus musculus*) were trapped from bakeries, grain storage factories, and farms, located at districts of Baghdad city Abu Ghraib, Al-Amiriya area including College of Veterinary Medicine, University Baghdad during the period from the beginning of December 2019 to the end of November 2020 from two different area of Baghdad city. All samples of house mice were brought to the laboratory and 5 grams of fecal sample was examined for detecting eggs and worms (*Hymenolepis* spp.) in Parasitology Laboratory located at the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad (16).

**Dissection and Collection of Parasites**

The following day trapped house mice were killed humanely by anesthesia (9:1, ketamine concentration 10% (Germany) and xylazine concentration 20% per 10 g (Holland). Mice body weights were taken after the animal held from tail and an intraperitoneal injection of anesthetic was given as accorded by (17). Fecal samples fixed in formalin 10% (Germany) were further processed by concentration technique using formalin-ethyl acetate 10% (Germany) to detect eggs. Cestodes were collected directly from the intestine and kept in separate plastic containers, and the samples were transported to Parasitology Laboratory located at the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad for identification. Permanent slides were prepared and stained with aceticarmine acid (manufacturers, USA) dehydrated in different grades of alcohols, cleared in xylene (China), and mounted on slides by Canada balsam (USA). Cestodes were morphologically identified under microscope using the taxonomic keys described previously (18).

**Statistical Analysis**

The Statistical Analysis System-SAS (19) program was used to detect the effect of difference factors in study parameters. Chi-square test was also used to significantly compare between percentage (0.05 and 0.01 probability) in this study.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Eleven out of 50 (22%) house mice, examined by formalin-ethyl acetate (concentration technique) and acetocarmine acid staining, were found infected with *Hymenolepis* with significance differences (*P*<0.05) (Table 1). The higher prevalence was 8/28 (28.57%) and found in Abu Ghraib area, while the lowest was 3/22 (13.63%) and recorded in Al-Ameriya area. Also *H. diminuta* showed higher infection rate 7/50 (14%) with significance differences (*P*<0.05) in comparison to *H. nana* 4/50 (8%) with no significance differences. The overall prevalence rate of this study was 22%, the results of the present study are in agreement with (20) who reported that the overall prevalence rate getting closer with this study in mice was 25.71%. Also, (21) who recorded that the infection with cestodes was 19%. While this study disagrees with (22) who found that the overall prevalence rate of House mice *M. musculus* infection with cestode was 47 (13.6%) and (23) who recorded that the overall prevalence rate in mice was (61.64%). In this study, the intestinal infection of mice with zoonotic *H. diminuta* (14%) and *H. nana* (8%) agreed with studies of (24) who reported that the higher infection rate was with *H. diminuta* 13.5%, and the lower was with *H. nana* 8.1%. Also (22) who stated that the higher prevalence rate of infection with *H. diminuta* was (7.3%) than *H. nana* in house mice and rat was (3.5%).

The results of the present study disagree with (20) who reported that higher infection rate of *H. nana* in house mice was 4 (11.42%) than *H. diminuta* was 2 (5.71%) in Uttarakhand, India. So (25) who conducted in a study in house mice in Taiwan, that 63% of them were infected with *Hymenolepis nana* and (26) who found that the infection with *H. diminuta* was more prevalent in *R. rattus* (14.1 %) than in *M. musculus* (0.6%). Also, (27) reported that *H. diminuta* was the most prevalent pathogen among rodents, and black rats were more frequently infected with *H. diminuta* (14.2%) than house mice (0.5%). The difference in the infection rates could be due to the geographical divergences between middle and other parts of Iraq and public health crises following civil war since 2003 (28).
Our results revealed differences in the infection rates of house mice Hymenolepiasis according to sex. Eight out of 29 males examined (27.58%) were positive; whereas 3 out of 21 females examined (14.28%) were positive with Hymenolepis spp. Moreover, the results of the present study also revealed the differences in percentage of positive samples according to the sex. However, males showed higher percentage rate (27.58%) than females (14.28%) in house mice with significance differences (P<0.05) (Table 2). This result agrees with those observed by (29) who referred that the prevalence rates of male were higher than female; 76.6% and 54.5% in India, respectively. Further, (21) who recorded that infection in male rodents was higher than female 62.06% and 37.94%, respectively. Also, (30) reported that the prevalence rate in males were higher than females (83.33% and 47.61%, respectively); (15) who stated the prevalence in males of house mice were higher than females 1.49% and 0.0%, respectively in Kalar district, Sulaymaniyah province; (31) who referred that the prevalence rates of rodent male were much higher than female 15.9% and 7.80%, respectively; so (32) who found the prevalence in male were higher than female 61.8% and 38.2% respectively. On the other hand, this result disagrees with (33) who mentioned that Hymenolepis spp. infection rates of male were less than female 37.7% and 62.4%, respectively. Also, (34) who recorded that parasite infection rate of male were less than female, could be related to suppressed immune response of female during pregnancy.

The results of monthly distribution of confirmed cases for the season period from December 2019 to December 2020 are illustrated in Table (3). The reported cases of house mice Hymenolepiasis increased in autumn 54.54% following by winter was 15.38% then summer was 13.33% with significance differences (P<0.01). The results of the present study are compatible with (35) who mention that the prevalence in the warm season reached 60%, and only reached the 10% in winter, where H. diminuta had higher abundance in summer. It is likely that unfavorable environmental conditions negatively affect the intermediate host populations in colder months (36).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>No. examined</th>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>H. nana No. (%)</th>
<th>H. diminuta No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abu Ghraib</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>3 (10.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (17.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Ameriya</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>1 (4.540)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (9.090)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>4 (8.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (14.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.63 *</td>
<td>2.33 NS</td>
<td>4.28 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\chi^2\)=P<0.05

Table 2. Prevalence of house mice Hymenolepiasis according to sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. examined</th>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>H. nana No. (%)</th>
<th>H. diminuta No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.58</td>
<td>3 (10.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (17.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>1 (4.760)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (9.520)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>4 (8.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (14.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.11 *</td>
<td>2.73 NS</td>
<td>3.08 NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\chi^2\)=P<0.05

Table 3. Prevalence of house mice Hymenolepiasis according to season

Ahmad et al. (37) stated that the highest (48%) month-wise prevalence of H. nana and H. diminuta was found during August whereas the lowest (28%) was during January. Also, Gómez Muñoz et al. (38) who found that the helminths were significantly more prevalent in warm season which was (71%) higher than in cold season was (51%). The results of the present study are in disagreement with (38) who reported that the seasonal incidence was evident , appearing the highest prevalence of infested rats (91.3%) in autumn, this was notably lower in winter (14.2%), and...
increased in summer (50%), and here were not animals captured to be compared in spring season.

In this study, the results showed that the trapped mice were infected with *Hymenolepis* species of parasites which is of zoonotic importance. This a significant public health risk to the humans residing in the study area. Therefore, there is need information on prevention and control of mice population. Further studies on mice associated zoonosis such as another parasite are also important.
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انتشر طفيلي الدودة الشريطية القزمة في الفئران المنزلية في محافظة بغداد، العراق
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الخلاصة

هدفت الدراسة إلى تحديد انتشار مرض Hymenolepiasis في الفئران المنزلية في بعض مناطق محافظة بغداد. تم استرداد 50 فأر من الفئة السكنية (النوعي: الفئران المنزلية، الخ) من أحياء مختلفة في محافظة بغداد. تمت تحليلات الفئران في مركز الطفيليات بجامعة بغداد. وكانت النتائج كالتالي:

- نسبة انتشار الإصابة بلغت 11% (22٪) في الفئة السكنية من الفئران المنزلية، مع وجود فروق معنوية عند (P≤0.05).
- كانت أعلى نسبة الإصابة في الفئة السكنية من الفئران المنزلية في منطقة أبو غريب بـ 8% (28.6٪).
- كانت أقل نسبة الإصابة في الفئة السكنية من الفئران المنزلية في منطقة العامرية بـ 3% (13.63٪).
- تم الكشف عن نوعين من طفيلي Hymenolepis: Hymenolepis nana و Hymenolepis diminuta.

كما تم الكشف عن وجود فروق معنوية بين الفئران المثليين و الفئران الإناث في نسبة الإصابة. النتائج تشير إلى الفئران منزلية كنقطة بداية مهمة في نقل الأمراض والطفيليات المشتركة للإنسان. توجد العديد من الدراسات المتقدمة لدراسة الفئران منزلية في نقل الأمراض والطفيليات المشتركة للإنسان. النتائج أدت إلى توصيات جديدة لمكافحة الأمراض والطفيليات المشتركة للإنسان.

الكلمات المفتاحية: انتشار، فئران منزلية، Hymenolepis diminuta، Hymenolepis nana.